United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/771,983 | 02/03/2004 | Noriaki Masui | 04078/LH | 3570 |
| 1933 7590 07/02/2007 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | STEPHENSON, DANIEL P | |
| 16TH Floor NEW YORK, | NY 10001-7708 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3672 | |
| | | · | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/02/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | A N N - | A 11 4/-> | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/771,983 | MASUI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jennifer H. Gay | 3672 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| .— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/771,983 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the upper and lower piston rings being in sliding contact with the inner wall of the cylinder must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract includes the implied phrases "Disclosed herein" and "is also disclosed". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the

Art Unit: 3672

printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is considered to be indefinite because it recites that the upper and lower piston rings are in sliding contact with the inner wall of the cylinder however this is not shown in the drawings. The examiner believes that applicant intended to recite that the scraping member is in sliding contact with the inner wall of the cylinder as this is what is shown and described and will treat it as such for the purpose of examination.

Claims 6-9 provides for the use of "the core sample collector according to...", but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claims 3-5 are rejected as being dependent on indefinite claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,983 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masui et al. (US 2002/0139583).

Regarding claim 1: Masui et al. discloses a core sample collector 21 comprising a cylinder 40 extending in vertical direction and a piston 45 arranged movably within the cylinder, wherein the piston is equipped with a sterilizing agent-applying mechanism 48 for applying a sterilizing agent 47 to an inner peripheral wall surface of the cylinder and a sterilizing agent-scraping member 41 for scraping the sterilizing agent applied by the sterilizing agent-applying mechanism as it moves within the cylinder.

Regarding claim 2: The piston is composed of a piston body 43, upper piston ring 44 and lower piston ring 46 making up the sterilizing agent-scraping member, the upper piston ring and lower piston ring are arranged so as to project outward in a radial direction from an outer peripheral surface of the piston body and the scraping member being slidably come into contact with the inner peripheral wall surface of the cylinder, and the sterilizing agent-applying mechanism is made up by holding the sterilizing agent within a space formed by partitioning a vacant space between the piston and the cylinder with the upper piston ring and the lower piston ring (Figure 4).

Regarding claim 3: The sterilizing agent-applying mechanism is made up by arranging a sterilizing agent carrier within the space formed by partitioning the vacant space between the piston and the cylinder with the upper piston ring and the lower piston ring and causing the sterilizing agent to be carried and held on the sterilizing agent carrier (Figure 4).

Regarding claims 4 and 5: The sterilizing agent is an antimicrobial polymeric substance. Regarding claims 6-9: Masui et al. discloses a method for using the above collector. Art Unit: 3672

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer H. Gay whose telephone number is (571) 272-7029. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., and Fri. from 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571/272-1000.

lephiter H Gay Primary Examiner

JHG 6/21/07